

## Supervisor's Proposal for the Members of the Examination Committee for the Final Defence

*(Digital fill-out is required.)*

### The PhD Candidate's Personal Data:

|                                |                          |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Student ID (Neptun Code):      | Name:                    |
| Name of the Doctoral Division: | Title of the PhD Thesis: |
| Candidate's Supervisor:        |                          |

### Exam Committee during Defence:

| Name/ Lecturer ID number or Date of Birth | Academic Degree | Place of Work and its Postal Address, E-mail Address and Telephone Number |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Member:                                |                 |                                                                           |
| 2. Member:                                |                 |                                                                           |
| 3. Member                                 |                 |                                                                           |

Members of the thesis defence committee must have a scientific qualification. One member of the defence committee must be a professional who is not an employee of Semmelweis University (i.e. external professional). In this respect, a professor emeritus at Semmelweis University is considered an employee of the university. The other (second) academic reviewer and a further member of the committee is a lecturer/researcher employed by the university. It is advisable to appoint the doctoral defence committee in such a way that a reserve member is also appointed, who may be a professional not employed by the university. The PhD candidate's supervisor may participate in a consulting role in the work of the thesis defence committee if so invited. The members of the defence committee and opponents must not be relatives of the PhD candidate or somebody from whom an objective evaluation of the matter cannot be expected, such as the PhD candidate's or supervisor's workplace director or co-author of an original scientific paper published within 5 years of the submission of the PhD thesis. Exceptions to this are publications in which neither the supervisor nor any other person involved in the graduation procedure is the main (first, last or corresponding) author. In the case of incompatibility the

candidate can request the University Doctoral Council (UDC) – or in the case of transferred authority – the Doctoral Division Council to change the composition of the defence committee. In exceptional cases, based on the decision of the University Doctoral Council (UDC), the composition of the thesis defence committee may be determined differently from the general rules.

### The PhD Supervisor' Statement

I hereby declare that there is no conflict of interest in the case of the professionals of the proposed academic reviewers (opponents) and defence committee members:

.....  
Supervisor